UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,925	03/25/2004	Hisashi Mogi	52433/756	8039	
VENIVONI & V	7590 · 10/09/2007	EXAMINER			
KENYON & KENYON One Broadway			NGUYEN, TUYEN T		
New York, NY	10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
· · ·		10/808,925	1	MOGI ET AL.				
`	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		TUYEN T. I		2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 136(a). In no even will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATIO t, however, may a reply be till expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is no nce except f	or formal matters, pr		e merits is			
Disposit	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from con						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b)[ drawing(s) be tion is require	held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 C				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/034,061.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 4, applicant should clarify how the viscoelastic layers being inserted at random at m gaps among the n-1 gaps of laminated layers and at the same time m satisfying the following formula:  $3 \le (n-1)/m \le 30$ . Applicant should clarify the specific random of the viscoelastic layers.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 7, as best understood in view of the rejection under 35 USC 112 second paragraph, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai et al. [JP 08-250339] in view of Niwa et al. [US 5,063,098].

Arai et al. discloses a grain-oriented electromagnetic steel plate/sheet for forming an iron core for a low noise induction apparatus comprising:

Page 3

- an electromagnetic steel plate/sheet [a]; and

- a viscoelastic layer [b] disposed on at least one surface of the electromagnetic steel

plate/sheet.

Arai et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific thickness of the

viscoelastic layer.

Niwa et al. discloses an electrical steel sheet [1] including at least one viscoelastic layer

of 30 µm or more to 60 µm or less in thickness disposed on at least one of the surfaces of the steel

sheet, wherein the viscoelastic layer having the loss factor have one or more peeks at

temperatures within the range from 20 to 200 degrees Celsius.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the viscoelastic layer design of Niwa et al. for the viscoelastic layer

of Arai et al. for the purpose of providing vibration damping effect.

Regarding claims 4, the specific random arrangement of the viscoelastic layer relative to

the steel sheet would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended

applications/environments use for the purpose of reducing noise.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/2007 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art of record do not teach or suggest randomly inserting

viscoelastic layers between the electrical steel sheets.

The examiner disagrees.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,925

Art Unit: 2832

Applicant claims the viscoelastic layers being randomly inserted between the electrical steel sheets. At the same time it has to satisfying the formula  $3 \le (n-1)/m \le 30$ . According to the claim, the viscoelastic layers are being inserted at a fixed formula and cannot be inserted randomly at the same time. Applicant has not claimed, nor has examiner considered, how randomly the viscoelastic layers being inserted between the electrical steel sheets.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,925

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TNTN

TUYEN T. NGUYEN
Primary Examiner

Trugen Ngrugen

Page 5

Technology Center 2800